#### REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the Application in light of the Office Action dated October 3, 2002. At the time of Action, Office claims 1-83 were pending Application. Applicant amends claims 1-83. Applicant also adds new claims 84 and 85 to the Application. Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter is added by these new claims as they only distinctly point out elements and features already present in the Application. Applicant respectfully amendments the claims submits that the to correct typographical errors or address minor antecedent basis issues. The amendments are not the result of any prior art reference and thus do not narrow the scope of any of the claims. Furthermore, the amendments are not related to patentability and only further clarify subject matter All of Applicant's amendments are without prejudice present. respectfully disclaimer. Applicant reconsideration of the pending claims and favorable action in this case.

### In the Drawings

The Application was filed with informal drawings that are acceptable for examination purposes. Formal drawings for the Application have been appended to this Response. Applicant submits that the formal drawings do not add any new matter to the Application and are in full compliance with 37 U.S.C. \$1.81, \$1.83, and \$1.84.

## Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)

Applicant has submitted an IDS dated October 29, 1999 that included a reference ("Host Extensions for IP Multicasting") the Examiner has yet to identify as being acknowledged. Applicant presumes this to be an Examiner oversight and therefore asks the Examiner to review the reference submitted in the identified IDS and, further, to formally indicate that the reference was considered in the prosecution of the Application.

### Section 102 Rejection

The Examiner rejects claims 1, 3, 11, 17, 19, 36, 38-41, 47-49, 57, 63, 65, and 82 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,434,616 issued to Urano et al. ("Urano"). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection for the following reasons.

Independent claims 1, 3, 38, 48, and 49 recite, general, receiving instructions that indicate how packets received by the forwarding agent are to be processed and processing the packets according to the instructions. contrast to these teachings, Urano discloses a method for monitoring abnormal behavior in a computer system. method includes collecting log information in order to detect an event. (See Urano, Column 2, lines 61-67.) When one of the manager computer 104, console computer 106, and operator 105 detects an abnormal condition, the manager computer 104 collects more detailed information. (See Urano, Column 5, In the example offered by Urano, the manager lines 37-40.) computer 104 supposes that an event has occurred and collects the logs to verify it. The method purportedly reduces the load on the manager computer 104 necessary to make analysis and minimizes network traffic. (See Urano, Column

However, nowhere in Urano is there any 6, lines 5-13.) disclosure, teaching, or suggestion of receiving instructions and processing packets according to the instructions recited in the above-identified claims. For at least this reason, these claims are patentable over Additionally, claims 11, 17, 19, and 36 depend Independent Claim 3 and are therefore also allowable over Urano. Also, claims 39, 40, 41, and 47 and claims 57, 63, 65, and 82 depend from Independent claims and respectively and are therefore also allowable over Urano for similar reasons. Accordingly, claims 1, 3, 11, 17, 19, 36, 38-41, 47-49, 57, 63, 65, and 82 are not anticipated by *Urano*. Notice to this effect is respectfully requested in the form of an allowance of these claims.

#### Section 103 Rejections

The Examiner rejects Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urano in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,243,748 issued to Arai ("Arai"). Claim 1, from which claim 2 depends, has been shown to be patentably distinct from Also, in contrast to Claim 2, Arai discloses a method and apparatus for managing a large scale network including apparatuses to be managed. Arai fails to provide disclosure that would teach or suggest all of the claim is required for a proper \$103 limitations as Moreover, Arai fails to offer any additional subject matter combinable with Urano that would be material to patentability. For example, neither Arai nor any other reference of record offers any suggestion or motivation to make the proposed combination as is required by MPEP §2143. Applicant respectfully submits that claim 2 is patentably distinct from the proposed Urano-Arai combination.

The Examiner rejects claims 4, 6, 10, 50, 52, and 56 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urano in Patent No. 5,961,595 issued to of U.S. ("Kawagoe"). Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 4, 6, and 10 and claims 50, 52, and 56 respectively depend, have been shown to be patentably distinct from Urano. Also, in contrast to the subject matter of these claims, Kawagoe discloses network management system with a hardware resource management module shared between networks. Kawagoe fails to provide any additional disclosure that would teach or suggest all of the claim limitations as is required for a proper \$103 analysis. Moreover, Kawaqoe fails to offer any additional subject matter combinable with Urano that would be material to patentability. Neither Kawagoe nor any other reference of record offer any suggestion or motivation to make the proposed combination. Additionally, the Examiner has also failed to show that such a combination could have been based on the knowledge available to one having ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 4, 6, 10, 50, 52, and 56 are patentably distinct from the proposed Urano-Kawagoe combination.

The Examiner rejects claims 12, 34, 35, 37, 58, 80, 81, and 83 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urano view of U.S. Patent No. 6,407,997 issued to DeNap ("DeNap"). Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 12, 34, 35, and 37 and claims 58, 80, and 81 respectively depend, have been shown to be patentable over Urano. Also, in contrast to the subject matter of these claims, DeNap discloses asynchronous transfer mode system for providing telephony DeNap, however, fails to provide any additional service. disclosure that would teach or suggest all of the claim limitations. DeNap also fails to offer any additional subject

matter combinable with *Urano* that would be material to patentability. For example, neither *DeNap* nor any other reference of record offers any suggestion or motivation to make the proposed combination or that such a combination could have been based on the knowledge available to one having ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 12, 34, 35, 37, 58, 80, 81, and 83 are patentably distinct from the proposed *Urano-DeNap* combination.

The Examiner rejects claims 13 and 59 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urano in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,363,072 issued to Furuichi ("Furuichi"). Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 13 and 59 respectively depend, have been shown to be patentable distinct from Urano. Additionally, in contrast to the subject matter of these claims, Furuichi discloses an ATM network communication control system allowing end-to-end connection to be set up with ease. Furuichi fails to provide any disclosure that would teach or suggest all of the claim limitations of claims 13 and 59. Moreover, Furuichi fails to offer any additional subject matter combinable with Urano that would be material to patentability. Neither Furuichi any other reference of record offer nor suggestion or motivation to make the proposed combination. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 13 and 59 are patentably distinct from the proposed Urano-Furuichi combination.

The Examiner rejects claims 14 and 60 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Urano* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,065,061 issued to Blahut et al.("Blahut"). Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 14 and 60 respectively depend, have been shown to be allowable from *Urano*. Additionally, *Blahut* discloses an internet protocol based network architecture for cable television access with switched feedback. *Blahut*,

however, fails to provide any disclosure that would teach or suggest all of the claim limitations of claims 14 and 60 as is required for a proper \$103 analysis. Moreover, Blahut fails to offer any additional subject matter combinable with Urano that would be material to patentability. For example, neither Blahut nor any other reference of record offer any suggestion or motivation to make the proposed combination or that such a combination could have been based on the knowledge available to one having ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 14 and 60 are patentably distinct from the proposed Urano-Blahut combination.

The Examiner rejects claims 15 and 61 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urano in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,125,390 issued to Touboul ("Touboul"). Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 15 and 61 respectively depend, have been shown to be allowable over Urano. Also, in contrast to the subject matter of these claims, Touboul discloses a method and for monitoring and controlling in a network. Touboul fails to provide any disclosure that would teach or suggest all of the claim limitations as is required for a proper §103 analysis. Moreover, Touboul fails to offer any additional subject matter combinable with Urano that would be material to patentability. For example, neither Touboul nor any other reference of record offer any suggestion or motivation to make the proposed combination. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 15 and 61 are distinct from the proposed Urano-Touboul patentably combination.

The Examiner rejects claims 16 and 62 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Urano* in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,926,539 issued to Shtivelman ("Shtivelman"). Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 16 and 62 respectively depend, have

allowable over Urano. to be In addition, Shtivelman discloses a method and apparatus for determining agent availability based on a level of uncompleted tasks. Shtivelman, however, fails to provide any disclosure that would teach or suggest all of the claim limitations of claims 16 and 62. Moreover, Shtivelman fails to offer any additional subject matter combinable with Urano that would be material to patentability. For example, neither Shtivelman nor any other reference of record offer any suggestion or motivation to make the proposed combination or that such a combination could have been based on the knowledge available to one having ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 16 and 62 are patentably distinct from the proposed Urano-Shtivelman combination.

The Examiner rejects claims 18 and 64 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urano in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,070,187 issued to Subramaniam et al.("Subramaniam"). Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 18 and 64 respectively allowable over depend, have been shown to be Additionally, Subramaniam discloses a method and apparatus for its network node to be own gateway. configuring а Subramaniam, however, fails to provide any disclosure that would teach or suggest all of the claim limitations of claims Moreover, Subramaniam fails to offer and 64. additional subject matter combinable with Urano that would be material to patentability. For example, neither Subramaniam nor any other reference of record offer any suggestion or motivation to make the proposed combination. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 18 and 64 are patentably distinct from the proposed Urano-Subramaniam combination.

The Examiner rejects claims 21-33, 42-46, and 67-79 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urano in view of issued to Flanders et Patent No. 6,172,980 ("Flanders"). Claims 3 and 49, from claims 21-23 and claims 42-46 and 67-79 respectively depend, have been shown to be allowable over Urano. Also, Flanders discloses a method and apparatus for determining agent availability based on a level But Flanders fails to offer any of uncompleted tasks. disclosure that would teach or suggest all of the claim limitations as is required for a proper \$103 analysis. Moreover, Flanders fails to offer any additional matter combinable with Urano that would be material patentability. For example, neither Flanders nor any other reference of record offers any suggestion or motivation to make the proposed combination or that such a combination could have been based on the knowledge available to one having ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 21-33, 42-46, and 67-79 are patentably distinct from the proposed Urano-Flanders combination.

The Examiner rejects claims 20 and 66 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Urano* in view of *Arai*. Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 20 and 66 respectively depend, have been shown to be allowable over *Urano*. Moreover, *Arai* fails to provide any additional disclosure combinable with *Urano* that would be material to patentability. Accordingly, claims 20 and 66 are patentably distinct from the proposed combination.

Claims 5 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Urano* in view of *Kawagoe* and in further view of *Arai*. Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 5 and 51 respectively depend, have been shown to be allowable over *Urano*. Moreover, *Kawagoe* fails to provide any additional

disclosure combinable with *Urano* or *Arai* that would be material to patentability. Accordingly, claims 5 and 51 are patentably distinct from the proposed combination.

Claims 7 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Urano* in view of *Kawagoe* and in further view of *DeNap*. Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 7 and 53 respectively depend, have been shown to be allowable over *Urano*. Moreover, neither *Kawagoe* nor *DeNap* provide any additional disclosure combinable with *Urano* that would be material to patentability. Accordingly, claims 7 and 53 are patentably distinct from the proposed combination.

Claims 8 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Urano* in view of *Kawagoe* and in further view of *Furuichi*. Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 8 and 54 respectively depend, have been shown to be allowable over *Urano*. Moreover, neither *Kawagoe* nor *Furuichi* provide any additional disclosure combinable with *Urano* that would be material to patentability. Accordingly, claims 8 and 54 are patentably distinct from the proposed combination.

Claims 9 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Urano* in view of *Kawagoe* and in further view of *Blahut*. Claims 3 and 49, from which claims 9 and 55 respectively depend, have been shown to be allowable over *Urano*. Moreover, neither *Kawagoe* nor *Blahut* provide any additional disclosure combinable with *Urano* that would be material to patentability. Accordingly, claims 9 and 55 are patentably distinct from the proposed combination.

Thus, the rejections associated with each of these references have been traversed as illustrated by the analysis provided above. Accordingly, the remaining claims are allowable over the proposed combinations using similar reasoning. Additionally, the Examiner has yet to provide any

evidence in any of the cited references, or in the knowledge available to one having ordinary skill in he art, to make any of the proposed combinations. Moreover, with regards to all of the proffered \$103 rejections asserted, the Examiner is yet to show a modicum of evidence that would reflect any degree of success for the proposed combinations of references much less a reasonable degree of success as is required for a proper \$103 analysis.

Accordingly, all of the pending claims have been shown to be allowable as they are patentably distinct from the references of record. Notice to this effect is respectfully requested in the form of a full allowance of claims 1-85.

#### CONCLUSION

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for immediate allowance. For the foregoing reasons and for other reasons clear and apparent, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-85.

The required fee of \$36.00 is submitted herewith for two (2) additional claims and is believed to be correct. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge additional fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of Baker Botts, L.L.P.

If there are matters that can be discussed by telephone to advance prosecution of this application, Applicant invites the Examiner to contact its attorney at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted, BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. Attorneys for Applicant

Charles S. Fish Reg. No. 35,870

Date: January <u>3</u>, 2003

#### CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75201-2980 214) 953-6507 Customer Number or Bar Code Label:

# Marked-Up Version of Specification

## IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please amend the specification as follows.

Under the heading "CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS," please amend the paragraph beginning at page 1, line 4, as follows:

## CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is related to co-pending U.S. Patent
Application No. [ (Attorney Docket No.
CISCP514)] 09/346,634 entitled DISPATCHING PACKETS FROM A
FORWARDING AGENT USING TAG SWITCHING; [filed concurrently
herewith, which is incorporated herein by reference for all
purposes]; [and] co-pending U.S. Patent Application No
[ (Attorney Docket No. CISCP515)] 09/347,124
entitled CASCADING MULTIPLE SERVICES ON A FORWARDING AGENT
[filed concurrently herewith, which is incorporated herein by
reference for all purposes]; [and] co-pending U.S. Patent
Application No. [ (Attorney Docket No
CISCP516)] 09/347,111 entitled LOAD BALANCING USING DISTRIBUTED
FORWARDING AGENTS WITH APPLICATION BASED FEEDBACK FOR
DIFFERENT VIRTUAL MACHINES [filed concurrently herewith, which
is incorporated herein by reference for all purposes]; [and]
co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. [ (Attorney
Docket No. CISCP517)] 09/347,428 entitled GATHERING NETWORK
STATISTICS IN A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK SERVICE ENVIRONMENT [filed
concurrently herewith, which is incorporated herein by
reference for all purposes]; [and] co-pending U.S. Patent
Application No. [ (Attorney Docket No
CISCP518)]09/347,122 entitled HANDLING PACKET FRAGMENTS IN
DISTRIBUTED NETWORK SERVICE ENVIRONMENT [filed concurrently

herewith, which is incorporated herein by reference for all
<pre>purposes]; [and] co-pending U.S. Patent Application No.</pre>
[ (Attorney Docket No. CISCP519)] 09/347,108
entitled SENDING INSTRUCTIONS FROM A SERVICE MANAGER TO
FORWARDING AGENTS ON A NEED TO KNOW BASIS [filed concurrently
herewith, which is incorporated herein by reference for all
purposes]; [and] co-pending U.S. Patent Application No.
[ (Attorney Docket No. CISCP520)]09/347,126
entitled DISTRIBUTION OF NETWORK SERVICES AMONG MULTIPLE
SERVICE MANAGERS WITHOUT CLIENT INVOLVEMENT [filed
concurrently herewith, which is incorporated herein by
reference for all purposes]; [and] co-pending U.S. Patent
Application No. [ (Attorney Docket No.
CISCP522)] 09/347,048 entitled SYNCHRONIZING SERVICE
INSTRUCTIONS AMONG FORWARDING AGENTS USING A SERVICE MANAGER
[filed concurrently herewith, which is incorporated herein by
reference for all purposes]; [and] co-pending U.S. Patent
Application No. [ (Attorney Docket No.
CISCP527)] 09/347,125 entitled BACKUP SERVICE MANAGERS FOR
PROVIDING RELIABLE NETWORK SERVICES IN A DISTRIBUTED
ENVIRONMENT [filed concurrently herewith, which is
incorporated herein by reference for all purposes]; [and] co-
pending U.S. Patent Application No. [ (Attorney
Docket No. CISCP528)] 09/347,123 entitled STATEFUL FAILOVER OF
SERVICE MANAGERS [filed concurrently herewith, which is
incorporated herein by reference for all purposes]; [and] co-
pending U.S. Patent Application No. [ (Attorney
Docket No. CISCP529)] 09/347,109 entitled NETWORK ADDRESS
TRANSLATION USING A FORWARDING AGENT [filed concurrently
herewith, which is incorporated herein by reference for all
<pre>purposes]; and co-pending U.S. Patent Application No.</pre>
[ (Attorney Docket No. CISCP530)]09/347,036

PATENT APPLICATION 09/347,034

34

entitled UNPROXYING A CONNECTION USING A FORWARDING AGENT, all filed [concurrently herewith, which is] on July 2, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference for all purposes.

## Marked-Up Version of the Amended Claims

Applicant has produced a marked-up version of the amended claims below. Please amend the claims as follows.

1. (Amended) A method [of] for providing a network
service, [including] comprising:

[implementing a forwarding agent on a router wherein the forwarding agent is operative to receive] receiving instructions from a service manager at a forwarding agent, the instructions indicating how packets received by the forwarding agent are to be processed;

processing the packets received at the forwarding agent according to the instructions; and

forwarding <u>selected</u> packets from the forwarding agent to the service manager in response to the instructions [; and

receiving instructions at the forwarding agent from the service manager detailing how to handle the forwarded packets].

2. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 1, wherein the forwarding agent forwards the selected packets to the service manager using [UDP] a user datagram protocol (UDP).

3. (Amended) A method [of] for providing a network service, [including] comprising:

receiving packet interest instructions from a service manager at a forwarding agent, the instructions specifying packets that the forwarding agent is [instructed] to [send]communicate to the service manager;

receiving an initial packet at a forwarding agent that matches one of the packets specified in the packet interest instructions from the service manager; and

[sending] communicating the initial packet from the forwarding agent to the service manager so that the packet may be processed at the service manager to determine one or more actions that are to be performed for the packet.

4. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 3. further [including] comprising:

receiving packet handling instructions from the service manager at the forwarding agent that include the actions determined by the service manager for the packet.

5. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 4, wherein the receiving packet handling instructions from the service manager at the forwarding agent that include the actions determined by the service manager for the packet includes receiving a [UDP] user datagram protocol (UDP) packet at the forwarding agent.

6. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 4. further [including] comprising:

receiving the initial packet from the service manager [back] at the forwarding agent along with the packet handling instructions; and

handling the packet at the forwarding agent according to the packet handling instructions.

- 7. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 6, wherein handling the packet at the forwarding agent according to the packet handling instructions includes translating the destination [IP] internet protocol (IP) address in the packet so that the packet is forwarded to a different IP address than the IP address originally included in [the] a packet header.
- 8. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 6, wherein handling the packet at the forwarding agent according to the packet handling instructions includes [sending] communicating the packet to a destination specified in the packet handling instructions using tag switching.
- 9. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 6, wherein handling the packet at the forwarding agent according to the packet handling instructions includes [sending] communicating the packet to a destination specified in the packet handling instructions using IP tunneling.

10. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 4. further [including] comprising:

receiving a subsequent packet at the forwarding agent;
determining that the subsequent packet matches a
criteria included in the packet handling instructions; and

handling the <u>subsequent</u> packet at the forwarding agent according to the packet handling instructions.

11. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 3. further [including] comprising:

forwarding the packet from the service manager to a destination other than the forwarding agent, the destination being determined by the service manager.

- 12. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 11, wherein forwarding the packet from the service manager to a destination other than the forwarding agent includes translating the destination IP address in the packet.
- 13. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 11, wherein forwarding the packet from the service manager to a destination other than the forwarding agent includes [sending] communicating the packet to the destination using tag switching.

- 14. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 11, wherein forwarding the packet from the service manager to a destination other than the forwarding agent includes [sending] communicating the packet to the destination using IP tunneling.
- 15. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 3, wherein the forwarding agent is implemented on a selected one of a group consisting of:
  - a switch;
  - a load balancer; and
  - a router.
- 16. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 3, wherein the [forwarding agent is implemented on a switch] instructions provided by the service manager are associated with a selected one of a group consisting of:
  - a routing operation;
  - a statistics gathering operation;
  - a load balancing operation;
  - a packet modification operation;
  - a tunneling operation; and
  - a tag switching operation.

- [A] The method of [providing a network 17. (Amended) service as recited in] claim 3, wherein receiving packet interest instructions from a service manager at a forwarding forwarding specifying packets that the instructed to [send] communicate to the service manager multicast of the packet interest includes receiving a instructions [sent] communicated to a plurality of forwarding agents.
- 18. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 17. further [including] comprising:

receiving unicast packet handling instructions from the service manager at the forwarding agent that include the actions determined by the service manager for the packet.

19. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 17. further [including] comprising:

receiving multicast packet handling instructions from the service manager at the plurality of forwarding agents that include the actions determined by the service manager for the packet.

20. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 3. [further including] wherein specifying packets that the forwarding agent is instructed to [send] communicate to the service manager includes receiving a UDP packet at the forwarding agent.



- 21. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 3, wherein receiving the packet interest instructions from [a] the service manager at [a] the forwarding agent includes receiving a wildcard affinity at the forwarding agent that identifies one or more flows to be received by the forwarding agent.
- 22. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 21, wherein the wildcard affinity includes a selected one of a group consisting of:
  - a source IP address[,];
  - a destination IP address[,];
  - a source port [number,]; and
  - a destination port [number].
- 23. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 22, wherein the wildcard affinity further includes a protocol identifier.
- 24. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 22, wherein the wildcard affinity source IP address includes a plurality of IP addresses.
- 25. (Amended) [A] The method of providing a network service as recited in] claim 22, wherein the wildcard affinity destination IP address includes a plurality of IP addresses.
- 26. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 22, wherein the wildcard affinity destination port includes a plurality of ports.

- 27. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 22, wherein the wildcard affinity source port includes a plurality of ports.
- 28. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 22, wherein the wildcard affinity source IP address includes a range of IP addresses.
- 29. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 22, wherein the wildcard affinity destination IP address includes a range of IP addresses.
- 30. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 22, wherein the wildcard affinity destination port includes a range of ports.
- 31. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 22, wherein the wildcard affinity source port includes a range of ports.
- 32. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 22, wherein the wildcard affinity source IP address specifies a set of IP addresses by using a [mask] netmask element.
- 33. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 22, wherein the wildcard affinity destination IP address specifies a set of IP addresses by using a [mask] netmask element.

- 34. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 3, wherein the action determined is translating the destination IP address of the initial packet.
- 35. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 3, wherein the action determined is forwarding the initial packet to a destination different than the destination IP address of the initial packet.
- 36. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 3, wherein the action determined is forwarding the initial packet without changing the initial packet and reporting information about the packet to the service manager.
- 37. (Amended) [A] The method of [providing a network service as recited in] claim 3, wherein the action determined is translating the source IP address of the initial packet.

- 38. (Amended) A forwarding agent, comprising:
- a service manager receiving interface for receiving instructions from a service manager specifying actions to be performed for server designated packets;
- a service manager sending interface for sending packets to the service manager;
- a network packet receiving interface for receiving [IP] internet protocol (IP) packets from a network;
- a network packet forwarding interface for forwarding IP packets to the network; and
- a processor for performing the specified actions on the server designated packets.
- 39. (Amended) [A] The forwarding agent as recited in claim 38, further [including] comprising a service manager instruction storage element for storing the service manager instructions.
- 40. (Amended) [A] The forwarding agent as recited in claim 39, wherein the service manager instruction storage element includes a general instruction storage that stores criteria for forwarding packets to the service manager and a specific instruction storage that stores specific instructions for handling the server designated packets.
- 41. (Amended) [A] The forwarding agent as recited in claim [39] 40, further [including] comprising a comparator for comparing portions of newly received packets to the stored criteria.

- 42. (Amended) [A] <u>The</u> forwarding agent as recited in claim [39] <u>40</u>, wherein the stored criteria are affinities <u>that</u> identify one or more flows.
- 43. (Amended) [A] The forwarding agent as recited in claim 38, wherein the [stored criteria are affinities] forwarding agent is included in a selected one of a group consisting of:
  - a router;
  - a switch; and
  - a load balancer.
- 44. (Amended) [A] The forwarding agent as recited in claim [38] 40, wherein the stored criteria [are] include a selected one or more of a source IP address, a destination IP address, a source port, and a destination port.
- 45. (Amended) [A] The forwarding agent as recited in claim 38, wherein the server designated packets are designated by affinities.
- 46. (Amended) [A] The forwarding agent as recited in claim 38, wherein the server designated packets are designated by <u>a selected one or more of a</u> source IP address, <u>a</u> destination IP address, <u>a</u> source port, and <u>a</u> destination port.
- 47. (Amended) [A] The forwarding agent as recited in claim 38, wherein the service manager receiving interface and the network packet receiving interface are the same interface.

48. (Amended) A computer [program product] medium for handling packets, the computer [program product being embodied in a computer readable] medium [and] comprising [computer instructions for] code operable to:

[implementing a forwarding agent on a router wherein the forwarding agent is operative to] receive instructions from a service manager;

process packets according to the instructions; and [forwarding] forward selected packets from [the] a forwarding agent to the service manager[; and

receiving instructions at the forwarding agent from the service manager detailing how to handle the forwarded packets].

49. (Amended) A computer [program product] medium for providing a network service, the computer [program product being embodied in a computer readable] medium [and] comprising [computer instructions for] code operable to:

[receiving] receive packet interest instructions from a service manager [at a forwarding agent], the instructions specifying one or more packets that [the] a forwarding agent is [instructed] to [send] communicate to the service manager;

[receiving] receive an initial packet [at a forwarding agent] that matches one of the packets specified in the packet interest instructions from the service manager; and

[sending] communicate the initial packet [at a forwarding agent] to the service manager so that the packet may be processed at the service manager to determine one or more actions that are to be performed for the packet.

50. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 49, [the computer program product further comprising computer instructions for] wherein the code is further operable to:

[receiving] receive packet handling instructions from the service manager [at a forwarding agent] that include the actions determined by the service manager for the packet.

- 51. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 50, wherein receiving the packet handling instructions from the service manager at the forwarding agent that include the actions determined by the service manager for the packet includes receiving a [UDP] a user datagram protocol (UDP) packet at the forwarding agent.
- 52. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 50, [the computer program product further comprising computer instructions for] wherein the code is further operable to:

[receiving] receive the initial packet from the service manager [back at the forwarding agent] along with the packet handling instructions; and

[handling] handle the packet [at the forwarding agent] according to the packet handling instructions.

- 53. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 52, wherein handling the packet [at the forwarding agent] according to the packet handling instructions includes translating the destination IP address in the packet so that the packet is forwarded to a different IP address than the IP address originally included in the packet header.
- 54. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 52, wherein handling the packet [at the forwarding agent] according to the packet handling instructions includes [sending] communicating the packet to a destination specified in the packet handling instructions using tag switching.
- 55. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 52, wherein handling the packet [at the forwarding agent] according to the packet handling instructions includes [sending] communicating the packet to a destination specified in the packet handling using IP tunneling.

56. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 50, [the computer program product further comprising computer instructions for] wherein the code is further operable to:

[receiving] receive a subsequent packet [at the forwarding
agent];

[determining] determine that the subsequent packet matches a criteria included in the packet handling instructions; and

[handling] handle the subsequent packet [at the forwarding agent] according to the packet handling instructions.

- 57. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 49, [the computer program product further comprising computer instructions for] wherein the code is further operable to [forwarding] forward the packet from the service manager to a destination other than the forwarding agent, the destination being determined by the service manager.
- 58. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 57, wherein forwarding the packet from the service manager to a destination other than the forwarding agent includes translating the destination IP address in the packet.
- 59. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 57, wherein forwarding the packet from the service manager to a destination other than the forwarding agent includes [sending] communicating the packet to the destination using tag switching.

- 60. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 57, wherein forwarding the packet from the service manager to a destination other than the forwarding agent includes [sending] communicating the packet to the destination using IP tunneling.
- 61. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 49, wherein the forwarding agent is implemented on a selected one of a switch and a router.
- 62. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 49, wherein the [forwarding agent] service manager is implemented on a switch.
- 63. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 49, wherein receiving the packet interest instructions from a service manager [at a forwarding agent specifying packets that the forwarding agent is instructed to send to the service manager] includes receiving a multicast of the packet interest instructions sent to a plurality of forwarding agents.

- 64. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 63, [the computer program product further comprising computer instructions for receiving] wherein the code is further operable to receive unicast packet handling instructions from the service manager [at the forwarding agent] that include the actions determined by the service manager for the packet.
- 65. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 63, [the computer program product further comprising computer instructions for receiving] wherein the code is further operable to receive multicast packet handling instructions from the service manager at the plurality of forwarding agents that include the actions determined by the service manager for the packet.
- product (Amended) computer program for 66. [A providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim program product further comprising [the computer 49. specifying] wherein the code is instructions for operable to [packets that the forwarding agent is instructed to send to the service manager includes receiving]receive a UDP packet at the forwarding agent.

- 67. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 49, wherein [receiving packet interest instructions from a service manager at a forwarding agent includes receiving] the code is further operable to receive a wildcard affinity [at the forwarding agent].
- 68. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 67, wherein the wildcard affinity includes a selected one or more of a source IP address, a destination IP address, a source port [number], and a destination port [number].
- 69. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 67, wherein the wildcard affinity further includes a protocol identifier.
- 70. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 67. wherein the wildcard affinity source IP address includes a plurality of IP addresses.
- 71. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 68, wherein the wildcard affinity destination IP address includes a plurality of IP addresses.

- 72. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 68, wherein the wildcard affinity destination port includes a plurality of ports.
- 73. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 68, wherein the wildcard affinity source port includes a plurality of ports.
- 74. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 68, wherein the wildcard affinity source IP address includes a range of IP addresses.
- 75. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 68. wherein the wildcard affinity destination IP address includes a range of IP addresses.
- 76. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 68, wherein the wildcard affinity destination port includes a range of ports.
- 77. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 68, wherein the wildcard affinity source port includes a range of ports.

- 78. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 68, wherein the wildcard affinity source IP address specifies a set of IP addresses by using a [mask] netmask element.
- 79. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 68. wherein the wildcard affinity destination IP address specifies a set of IP addresses by using a [mask] netmask element.
- 80. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 49, wherein the action determined is translating the destination IP address of the initial packet.
- 81. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 49, wherein the action determined is forwarding the initial packet to a destination different than the destination IP address of the initial packet.
- 82. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 49, wherein the action determined is forwarding the initial packet without changing the initial packet and reporting information about the packet to the service manager.

- 83. (Amended) [A computer program product for providing a network service as recited in] The medium of claim 49, wherein the action determined is translating the source IP address of the initial packet.
- 84. (New) A system for providing a network service, comprising:

means for receiving instructions from a service manager at a forwarding agent, the instructions indicating how packets received by the forwarding agent are to be processed;

means for processing the packets according to the instructions; and

means for forwarding selected packets from the forwarding agent to the service manager.

85. (New) A system for providing a network service, comprising:

means for receiving packet interest instructions from a service manager at a forwarding agent, the instructions specifying packets that the forwarding agent is to send to the service manager;

means for receiving an initial packet at a forwarding agent that matches one of the packets specified in the packet interest instructions from the service manager; and

means for communicating the initial packet from the forwarding agent to the service manager so that the packet may be processed at the service manager to determine actions that are to be performed for the packet.